## كلية العلوم College of Sciences

جامعة الملك عبدالعزيز King Abdulaziz University





> MainPage	<u>Research Details :</u>
> About College	Research Title : Analysis of domperidone in pharmaceutical formulations and
> Files	wastewater by differential pulse voltammetry at a glassy-carbon
Researches	<u>electrode</u> <u>Analysis of domperidone in pharmaceutical formulations and</u>
Courses	wastewater by differential pulse voltammetry at a glassy-carbon
> Favorite Links	<u>electrode</u> Descriptipn : The redox characteristics of the drug domperidone at a glassy-
Our Contacts	carbon electrode (GCE) in aqueous media were critically
Visits Of this Page:14	investigated by differential-pulse voltammetry (DPV) and cyclic voltammetry (CV). In Britton Robinson (BR) buffer of pH 2.6-10.3, an irreversible and diffusion-controlled oxidation wave was developed. The dependence of the CV response of the developed anodic peak on the sweep rate (v) and on depolizer concentration was typical of an electrode-coupled chemical reaction mechanism

achieved.

2 Pages: 719-725

: Tuesday, June 17, 2008

: Article

: 2007

Research Type

Research Year

Publisher

Added Date

Researchers :
---------------

(EC) in which an irreversible first-order reaction is interposed between the charges. The values of the electron-transfer

from the linear plots of E-p, (a) against In (v) in the pH range investigated were in the range  $0.64 \pm - 0.05$  confirming the irreversible nature of the oxidation peak. In BR buffer of pH 7.6-8.4, a well defined oxidation wave was developed and the plot of peak current height of the DPV against domperidone concentration at this peak potential was linear in the range  $5.20 \times 10(-6)$  to 2.40

x 10(-5) mol L-1 with lower limits of detection (LOD) and quantitation (LOQ) of 6.1 x 10(-7) and 9.1 x 10(-7) mol L-1, respectively. A relative standard deviation of 2.39% (n = 5) was

obtained for 8.5 x 10(-6) mol L-1 of the drug. These DPV

procedures were successfully used for analysis of domperidone in the pure form (98.2 +/- 3.1%), dosage form (98.35 +/- 2.9%), and in tap (97.0 +/- 3.6%) and wastewater (95.0 +/- 2.9%) samples. The method was validated by comparison with standard titrimetric and HPLC methods. Acceptable error of less than 3.3% was also

: ANALYTICAL AND BIOANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY Volume: 387 Issue:

coefficient (alpha) involved in the rate-determining step calculated